

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/833,596 04/13/2001 Roger Edward Latimer A/CW/00G279US 3740

466

7590

06/13/2003

YOUNG & THOMPSON 745 SOUTH 23RD STREET 2ND FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22202

EXAMINER

ADDISON, KAREN B

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2834

DATE MAILED: 06/13/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/833,596	LATIMER, ROGER EDWARD
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Karen B Addison	2834
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3/2	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a receptly within the statutory minimum of thirt od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON oute, cause the application to become AB iling date of this communication, even if the section is non-final. This action is non-final. Wance except for formal mater Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.E.	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). imely filed, may reduce any
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-6</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers	or election requirement.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc		e Examiner
Applicant may not request that any objection to t		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in r		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	an priority under 35 U.S.C. &	119(a)-(d) or (f)
a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	115(4) (4) 51 (1).
1. Certified copies of the priority documer	its have been received	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price		
application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional application)
a) The translation of the foreign language pr 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	rovisional application has been tic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	en received. § 120 and/or 121.
ttachment(s)		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _ 	5) Notice of Inf	immary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ormal Patent Application (PTO-152) .
Patent and Trademark Office O-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office A	ction Summary	Part of Paper No. 601

Application/Control Number: 09/833,596

Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Inaba (4133230) in view of Curtiss(4131036).

Inaba discloses in fig.1 a motor spindle (13) extending from the motor; and a machine spindle (fig.1) formed of a unitary extension of the motor spindle (13). Wherein, the machine is a lathe with a bed (11) and the spindle is a headstock. Inaba also discloses the motor spindle (13) and the headstock (16) spindle lying substantially on the same axis.

Curtiss teaches a motor mounting device for an electric motor in fig.2-4 comprising: a Casing (20) with aperture(21) and a stator(11) mounted in the aperture including inwardly extending ribs(12) spaced apart around the aperture and bearing against an outer surface of the stator for the purpose of withstanding substantial reaction torque gernerated by industrial equipment. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skills in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the motor of Inaba with the teaching Curtiss for the purpose facilitating rapid disassembly and withstanding substantial reaction torque.

Application/Control Number: 09/833,596

Art Unit: 2834

3. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Inaba (4133230) in view of Curtiss(4131036) as applied to claim 5 above, and further in view of Beth (EP0709155).

Beth teaches in fig.1 a machining tool (31) with a switched reluctance motor having variable speed and automatic braking for the purpose of operating a rotatable machine tool. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the motor driven machine of Inaba with the teaching of Curtiss stator structure and Beth's combined machining tool and switched reluctance motor structure for the purpose operating rotatable machine and automatic breaking.

Referring to claim 1, It has been held that the recitation that an element is "adapted to" perform a function is not a positive limitation but only requires that ability to so perform. It does not constitute a limitation in any patentable sence. In re Hutchison, 69 USPQ 138.

Referring to claim 1, It has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claim apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claim structural limitation. Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQd 1647 (1987).

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 5-6 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karen B Addison whose telephone number is 703-306-5855. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 to 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nestor Ramirez can be reached on 703-308-1317. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3431 for regular communications and 703-305-3431 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

KBA

June 6, 2003